



RabbitMQ Relatore: Andrea Tamburrino



An open-source message broker

Outline

- Inter-process communication
- Message broker
- Advanced Message Queuing Protocol
- RabbitMQ
- A use case from Kalliope







Inter-process communication

- Signals
- Pipes
- Shared memory
- Sockets
- Message queues
- •



Inter-process communication

- Assume n processes need a way to communicate with each other
- A solution may be to create a TCP (e.g.) connection between each pair
- n(n-1)/2 TCP connections in the worst case
- Each process has to maintain *n*-1 TCP connections in the worst case
- Think if you have to write (and maintain) the source code for these services
- Does not scale very well



Inter-process communication

- If we have «something» in the middle we can reduce communication links to *n*
- A shared filesystem
- A shared database
- Processes should avoid polling for messages
- Efficient message delivery may be crucial
- A message broker is a better solution





Message broker

- A message broker implements a protocol for messages exchange (better if open standard)
- Communication is architecture-independent
- Provides message routing to one or more destination processes
- Implements common communication patterns such as
 - publish/subscribe
 - point to point

. . .

- competing consumers

NeRd Talks

- Advanced Message Queueing Protocol
- Defines exchanges, queues and bindings
- An exchange is a message routing agent that implements some routing logic
- Queues (trivially) are message buffers from which a client can obtain its messages
- Bindings are used to «link» queues to exchand and thus represent the «rules» followed by the routing logic of the exchange





- Echanges can be of different types according to their routing logic mechanisms
- Direct exchange Message is delivered to queue(s) that have a binding key exactly equal to the message routing key





abcd

- Echanges can be of different types according to their routing logic mechanisms
- Topic exchange Message is delivered to queue(s) if routing key matches a pattern indicated in queue's binding key
- Routing key is required to be a dot-separated list of words (e.g. *my.routing.key.abcd*)
- Useful for multicast delivery





- Echanges can be of different types according to their routing logic mechanisms
- Headers exchange Message is delivered to queue(s) if one or more values of headers match the ones indicated in queue binding
- Not very different from topic exchange
- Useful for «filtered» delivery





all

- Echanges can be of different types ^{MES} according to their routing logic mechanisms ^{Hea}
- Fanout exchange Message is delivered to all queues bound to the exchange
- Useful for broadcast delivery







- RabbitMQ is an open-source message
- Written in Erlang
- Implements AMQP (up to 0.9.x)
- A client only needs one TCP (TLS) con
- Faces scalability and reliability issues (e.g. single point of failure)
- HA mechinism
- Mirrored queues
- Clustering







- Queues can have different attributes
- Exclusive

The queue is used by only one connection (no concurrent consumers) and it is deleted when connection is closed



• Durable

Will survive a broker restart, including its messages if marked *durable* as well (persistent storage)

- Auto-delete
 It is automatically removed when its last consumer unsubscribes
- Other «security» attributes messages TTL, max queue size, max number of messages, drop policies...



- Clients are completely decoupled
- A broker is not required to be aware of communication coupling between clients (without a broker we would have direct connections between clients)



- Clients are completely decoupled
- A broker is not required to be aware of communication coupling between clients (without a broker we would have direct connections between clients)
- How can a client be aware of a broken connection with another client?
- An application-level mechanism is required





- If enabled, *publish confirms* can be sent by RabbitMQ to the publisher
- Sent after RabbitMQ has correctly published the message on a queue (correct message transmission by publisher to RabbitMQ through socket is not a guarantee)
- E.g. if a queue was declared exclusive or with auto-delete property, a connection loss may cause it to be removed and publishing will fail: RabbitMQ will send a nack to the publisher



- Two types of acks consumer-side
- Auto-ack: RabbitMQ considers a queued message consumed once it has been correctly sent to a consumer through socket connection (i.e. not a real ack)
- It is very efficient, of course, but it does not guarantee that consumer has correctly processed the message



- Two types of acks consumer-side
- Explicit consumer ack: once it has correctly processed the message received through the socket connection, consumer sends an explicit ack/nack
- RabbitMQ removes it from the queue or enqueue it back again (it depends on a specific policy)
- Cumulative acks are also allowed



- In conclusion, a 100% reliable client to client transmission must be implemented at application level
- A solution (not always feasible in terms of real-time performances) is to make both clients consumers and producers
- In case of one-way communication a consumer will only be an ack/nack producer
- Probably AMQP provides a mechanism for reliable communication but, as far as I know, RabbitMQ does not implement it yet





References and useful links

- rabbitmq.com
- www.amqp.com
- Our Qt Rabbit client: <u>https://gitlab.netresults.dev:10443/netresults/prodotti/kalliope/pbx/fw/daemons/common_classes/-/tree/master/rabbit</u>





Thank you for your attention!

Questions?